

Program Evaluation System

Report: Stats Distribution INT PERSP-POLIT OF HLTH POLIC (HPMNP8507_001_2008_1) Course: Instructor: **Esser Daniel** Student Course Evaluation - Mailman School of Public Health (Spring **Evaluation:** 2008) Dates: May 05, 2008 - May 18, 2008 No. of 22 Respondents: No. of Students: 26 Percent Completed: 85% Is this course a degree requirement? I found the course content to be __ The time required per credit for this course compared to other courses is __ **Section: Course Organization and Content**

1: Overall, I would recommend this course to other students.	n = 22
--	--------

Response	Weight	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	1	1	4.55
Disagree	2	1	4.55
Neutral	3	2	9.09
Agree	4	9	40.91
Strongly Agree	5	9	40.91
	Mean: 4.09	Median: 4	Std. Dev.: 1.06

2: The course objectives were clear to me. n = 22

Response	Weight	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.00
Disagree	2	1	4.55
Neutral	3	5	22.73
Agree	4	11	50.00
Strongly Agree	5	5	22.73
	Mean: 3.91	Median: 4	Std. Dev.: 0.81

3: The readings were relevant to course purposes. n = 22

Response	Weight	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.00
Disagree	2	1	4.55
Neutral	3	0	0.00

1 of 5 7/7/2009 6:31 PM

Sec	Strongly Agree	Mean: 4.41	Median: 5	Std. Dev.: 0.73	
	Strongly Agree	Mean: 4.41	Median: 5	Std. Dev.: 0.73	
	Strongly Agree				
		5	12	54.55	
	Agree	4	7	31.82	
	Neutral	3	3	13.64	
	Disagree	2	0	0.00	
	Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.00	
	Response	Weight	Frequency	Percent	
7:	The course contributed to the pu	rsuit of my profess	ionals goals.		n =2
		Mean: 4.14	Median: 4	Std. Dev.: 0.77	
	Strongly Agree	5	8	36.36	
	Agree	4	9	40.91	
	Neutral	3	5	22.73	
	Disagree	2	0	0.00	
	Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.00	
	Response	Weight	Frequency	Percent	
ó :	The assessment procedures reflected the course content.				
		Mean: 3.91	Median: 4	Std. Dev.: 1.19	
	Strongly Agree	5	8	36.36	
	Agree	4	9	40.91	
	Neutral	3	1	4.55	
	Disagree	2	3	13.64	
	Strongly Disagree	1	1	4.55	
	Response	Weight	Frequency	Percent	
5:	For the course credits allotted, th	ne requirements of	the course were	reasonable.	n =2
		Mean: 4.41	Median: 4	Std. Dev.: 0.59	
	Strongly Agree	5	10	45.45	
	Agree	4	11	50.00	
	Neutral	3	1	4.55	
	Disagree	2	0	0.00	
	Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.00	
	Response	Weight	Frequency	Percent	
4:	The assignments supported the I	earning goals of th	e course.		n =2
		Mean: 4.50	Median: 5	Std. Dev.: 0.74	
	Strongly Agree	5	13	59.09	

2 of 5

Response	Weight	Frequency	Percent
Very Ineffective	1	0	0.00
Ineffective	2	2	9.09
Adequate	3	3	13.64
Effective	4	9	40.91
Extremely Effective	5	8	36.36
	Mean: 4.05	Median: 4	Std. Dev.: 0.95

2: The instructor was _____ in communicating course content clearly.

n = 22

Response	Weight	Frequency	Percent
Very Ineffective	1	0	0.00
Ineffective	2	2	9.09
Adequate	3	3	13.64
Effective	4	7	31.82
Extremely Effective	5	10	45.45
	Mean: 4.14	Median: 4	Std. Dev.: 0.99

3: The instructor was _____ in promoting critical thinking.

n = 22

Response	Weight	Frequency	Percent
Very Ineffective	1	1	4.55
Ineffective	2	0	0.00
Adequate	3	1	4.55
Effective	4	6	27.27
Extremely Effective	5	14	63.64
	Mean: 4.45	Median: 5	Std. Dev.: 0.96

4: The instructor was _____ in facilitating student participation.

n = 22

Response	Weight	Frequency	Percent
Very Ineffective	1	0	0.00
Ineffective	2	1	4.55
Adequate	3	3	13.64
Effective	4	4	18.18
Extremely Effective	5	14	63.64
	Mean: 4.41	Median: 5	Std. Dev.: 0.91

5: The instructor was _____ in responding to student questions in class. n = 22

Response	Weight	Frequency	Percent
Very Ineffective	1	0	0.00
Ineffective	2	1	4.55
Adequate	3	2	9.09

3 of 5 7/7/2009 6:31 PM

Effective	4	5	22.73
Extremely Effective	5	14	63.64
	Mean: 4.45	Median: 5	Std. Dev.: 0.86

6:	The instructor was	nstructor was in providing academic support outside of class.			
	Response	Weight	Frequency	Percent	
	Very Ineffective	1	0	0.00	
	Ineffective	2	0	0.00	
	Adequate	3	4	18.18	
	Effective	4	6	27.27	
	Extremely Effective	5	12	54.55	
		Mean: 4.36	Median: 5	Std. Dev.: 0.79	

The instructor was	in modeling respect for diversity of opinions.		
Response	Weight	Frequency	Percent
Very Ineffective	1	1	4.55
Ineffective	2	1	4.55
Adequate	3	2	9.09
Effective	4	7	31.82
Extremely Effective	5	11	50.00
	Mean: 4.18	Median: 4.5	Std. Dev.: 1.10
	Response Very Ineffective Ineffective Adequate Effective	ResponseWeightVery Ineffective1Ineffective2Adequate3Effective4Extremely Effective5	ResponseWeightFrequencyVery Ineffective11Ineffective21Adequate32Effective47Extremely Effective511

Section: Student Learning

1:	After completion of this course, I am	to demonstrate the	n =22
	competencies of this course.		

Response	Weight	Frequency	Percen
Very Poorly Prepared	1	0	0.00
Poorly Prepared	2	1	4.55
Adequately Prepared	3	6	27.27
Well Prepared	4	9	40.91
Very Well Prepared	5	6	27.27
	Mean: 3.91	Median: 4	Std. Dev.: 0.87

2: After completion of this course, I am _____ to relate subject matter to n = 22 broader context of public health.

Response	Weight	Frequency	Percent
Very Poorly Prepared	1	0	0.00
Poorly Prepared	2	1	4.55
Adequately Prepared	3	4	18.18
Well Prepared	4	6	27.27
Very Well Prepared	5	11	50.00

4 of 5 7/7/2009 6:31 PM

Mean: 4.23 Median: 4.5 Std. Dev.: 0.92

3: After completion of this course, I am _____ to apply course concepts and n = 22 skills to solve public health problems.

Weight	Frequency	Percent
1	0	0.00
2	1	4.55
3	3	13.64
4	7	31.82
5	11	50.00
Mean: 4.27	Median: 4.5	Std. Dev.: 0.88
	1 2 3 4 5	1 0 2 1 3 3 4 7 5 11

Section: Open Ended Questions

5 of 5